

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/712,459	Applicant(s) FLOYD ET AL.	
	Examiner Ehud Gartenberg	Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(2) Thomas Otterlee.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 June 2005
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

El-Masri 4753068, Bennett 6330910

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art of record.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)